

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/044,368 01/10/2002 Thomas E. Broome 1001.1388101 8240 28075 **EXAMINER** 7590 12/13/2004 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC PANTUCK, BRADFORD C 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420

3731 DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/	
	10/044,368	BROOME ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bradford C Pantuck	3731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 11-24-2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32-51</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-31.				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:				
			10	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/044,368

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant did not amend the claims in the After Final Amendment filed 11-24-2004, but only argues that the cited prior art does not read on the claims as filed 06-15-2004. Examiner disagrees: Figure 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,591 B2 to Brady et al. clearly shows a filter having a first tapered portion and a second tapered portion. The first and second portions are portrayed as being continuous parts (distal and proximal) of the filter. Although Applicant correctly quotes one definition of the word "extension" [see REMARKS, 4th full para.], Examiner notes that the first definition given by Webster's Dictionary is, "the act of extending or state of being extended." Therefore, Brady does disclose the invention, as claimed. Even if Brady's member (57) were not a part of the component that is actually filtering emboli, it would still be considered to be a part of the filter, in the sense that it is a part of the filter assembly (1).

ANHTUANT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER